

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)  
rluskey@paulweiss.com  
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (628) 432-5100  
Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
ratkins@paulweiss.com  
CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com  
ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
akeller@paulweiss.com  
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 373-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC

*[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

Judge: Honorable Charles Breyer

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Roe CL 6 v. Uber Technologies,  
Inc.,*  
Case No.: 3:23-cv-05647-CRB

**DEFENDANTS AND THIRD-PARTY  
PLAINTIFFS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA,  
LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT**

**DEFENDANTS' THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT**

Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively, "Defendants" and "Third-Party Plaintiffs") by and through their attorneys, bring this Third-Party Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 14 and hereby allege the following against William Miller III ("Third-Party Defendant"):

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

1  
2 1. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Uber Technologies, Inc. is a California corporation  
3 that is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

4 2. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier, LLC is a limited liability company that is  
5 registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

6 3. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier-CA, LLC is a limited liability company that  
7 is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

8 4. Based on information and belief, Third-Party Defendant William Miller III is an  
9 individual residing in Peoria, Illinois.  
10

11 5. Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC are  
12 Defendants in the above-entitled action wherein Jane Roe CL 6 (“Plaintiff”), seeks damages for injuries  
13 allegedly caused by Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC and others, by way of  
14 Plaintiff’s Complaint for Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, filed on November 1, 2023, in the United  
15 States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-  
16 05647-CRB, and Plaintiff’s Short-Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial, filed on April 10, 2024,  
17 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case  
18 No. 3:23-cv-05647, which incorporates by reference the allegations made in the Master Long-Form  
19 Complaint filed on February 15, 2024, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
20 California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (collectively, the  
21 “Complaint”). Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate the Complaint herein for reference, and deny any  
22 fault or liability for causing Plaintiff’s alleged injuries or damages.  
23  
24

25 6. By way of the Complaint, Plaintiff seeks damages arising from an alleged sexual assault  
26 that purportedly occurred in Peoria, Illinois.

27 7. In the event that, as a result of the underlying action, it is determined that Plaintiff is  
28 entitled to recover from Third-Party Plaintiffs, and there have been acts or omissions for which Third-

1 Party Plaintiffs are responsible or liable, said acts or omissions were caused by the primary and active  
2 tortious or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party Defendant.

### 3 **JURISDICTION**

4 8. This Court has jurisdiction of the underlying action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)  
5 because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and because the  
6 underlying action is between citizens of different states.

7  
8 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this Third-Party Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
9 1367(a) because the Third-Party Complaint shares a common nucleus of operative facts with the  
10 underlying action so that the Third-Party Complaint is so related to the underlying action as to form  
11 part of the same case or controversy.

### 12 **DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT**

13 10. Assignment in the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, is  
14 appropriate because the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation assigned the *In re: Uber*  
15 *Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation* to this Court for consolidated pretrial  
16 proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1407 and the underlying action was directly filed in the  
17 Northern District of California. *See* Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB, Dkt. 1 (“Transfer Order”), Dkt.  
18 177 (“Stipulated Pretrial Order No. 6: Direct Filing”).

### 19 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 20 **(Express/Contractual Indemnity)**

21  
22 11. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set  
23 forth herein.

24  
25 12. Third-Party Defendant entered into multiple written agreements with Third-Party  
26 Plaintiffs. Those agreements outline the respective rights and responsibilities relating to Third-Party  
27 Defendant’s use of the Uber App. Of particular relevance here, Third-Party Defendant agreed to  
28 indemnify and defend Third-Party Plaintiffs, including with respect to claims such as those asserted by

1 Plaintiff in the underlying Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs. The circumstances that give rise  
 2 to Third-Party Defendant's contractual duty to indemnify and defend are the subject of Plaintiff's  
 3 Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs.

4 13. Third-Party Defendant entered into a Platform Access Agreement ("PAA") with Rasier,  
 5 LLC on January 7, 2020 and January 15, 2022. This PAA governed Third-Party Defendant's "access  
 6 to [Third-Party Plaintiffs'] Platform . . . which facilitates [Third-Party Defendant's] provision of  
 7 rideshare or peer-to-peer transportation service . . . to account holders seeking to access certain types  
 8 of P2P Service . . . ." (**Exhibit A – Platform Access Agreement, updated Jan. 6, 2020, p. 1, Exhibit**  
 9 **B – Platform Access Agreement, updated Jan. 1, 2022, p. 1**). It further granted Third-Party  
 10 Defendant "a non-exclusive, non-transferable, non-sublicensable, non-assignable license, during the  
 11 term of this Agreement, to use our Platform" that was "[s]ubject to the terms and conditions of this  
 12 Agreement." (*Id.* ¶ 2.1). The PAA required Third-Party Defendant, among other things, to comply  
 13 with "all laws . . . that apply to [his] provision of Rides." (*Id.* ¶ 2.2).

14 14. In connection with the PAA, Third-Party Defendant also entered into an Indemnity  
 15 Agreement with Rasier, LLC on January 7, 2020. The Indemnity Agreement provides:

16  
 17  
 18 To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, you will indemnify,  
 19 defend (at our option) and hold us and our affiliates and each of our and  
 20 their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, or shareholders  
 21 harmless from and against all claims, liabilities, expenses (including  
 22 reasonable attorney's fees and related expenses), damages, penalties,  
 23 fines, social security contributions and taxes ("*Losses*") asserted by a  
 24 third party and arising out of or related to your breach or alleged breach  
 25 of the Agreement or this Indemnity Agreement, your provision of Rides,  
 26 your access to our Platform or your interaction with any third party.

27 (**Exhibit C—Indemnity Agreement, updated Jan. 6, 2020, ¶ 1.1**).

28 15. The PAA and the Indemnity Agreement provide that the law that governs the  
 agreements is the law of the state where Third-Party Defendant resided when he entered into the  
 agreements. (**Ex. A, ¶ 12.7, Ex. B, ¶ 12.7; Ex. C, ¶ 6**). Based on information and belief, Third-Party  
 Defendant resided in Illinois.

16. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges, among other things, conduct entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages against Third-Party Plaintiffs. Specifically, Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that on or about February 15, 2022, while Third-Party Defendant was providing her a ride using the Uber App, Third-Party Defendant "repeatedly turned around [to the back seat where Plaintiff was seated] to rub her thighs, laughing, as she pushed his hands away. When they arrived at their destination, [Plaintiff] got out of the car after her friend, when [Third-Party Defendant] got out and grabbed her[,] hugging her and trying to grab her butt in the process. [She] was able to push [Third-Party Defendant] away and quickly ran into the house." (**Pl.'s Compl. For Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, filed on November 1, 2023, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-05647-CRB, ¶¶125-128; Pl.'s Short-Form Compl., filed on April 10, 2024, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-05647, ¶ C.1 ("The Plaintiff was sexually assaulted, harassed, battered, or otherwise attacked by [Third-Party Defendant] in connection with a ride facilitated on the Uber platform in Peoria County, Illinois on November 24, 2021")**)).

17. The damages alleged by Plaintiff arise out of or relate to Third-Party Defendant's alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct, which arises out of or relates to his breach of the PAA and the Indemnity Agreement with Third-Party Plaintiffs, provision of rides, access to Third-Party Plaintiffs' platform, and interaction with a third party.

18. Third-Party Defendant's alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct is therefore covered by the agreements to indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs and gives rise to Third-Party Defendant's contractual duty to indemnify and defend.

19. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny liability for the events and occurrences described in Plaintiff's Complaint.

20. Third-Party Defendant's alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct was the direct and proximate cause of the damages alleged by Plaintiff.

1           21. As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are found in some manner liable to Plaintiff in this  
2 action under any theory of recovery, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that their liability would be based  
3 solely upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from their conduct and, therefore, are entitled to  
4 complete indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

5           22. By reason of the foregoing, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to be fully defended and  
6 indemnified by Third-Party Defendant for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by way of  
7 compromise, settlement, or judgment. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to all costs,  
8 expenses, and attorneys' fees that Third-Party Plaintiffs incurred in the defense of the underlying action  
9 brought by Plaintiff and the prosecution of this Third-Party Complaint.  
10

## 11                                   **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 12                                   **(Implied Indemnity)**

13           23. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above. In the  
14 alternative to Third-Party Plaintiffs' First Cause of Action, if the Court were to find that Third-Party  
15 Plaintiffs are not entitled to express contractual indemnity from Third-Party Defendant, Third-Party  
16 Plaintiffs allege that they are entitled to implied indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.  
17

18           24. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges, among other things, that Third-Party Plaintiffs are  
19 vicariously liable for Third-Party Defendant's conduct.

20           25. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny any relationship giving rise to vicarious liability for Third-  
21 Party Defendant's conduct.

22           26. If Third-Party Plaintiffs are held vicariously liable for Third-Party Defendant's conduct,  
23 any such pretort relationship giving rise to vicarious liability also gives rise to implied indemnity under  
24 Illinois law.  
25

26           27. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny liability for the events and occurrences described in  
27 Plaintiff's Complaint, and, if Plaintiff has been injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, any  
28

1 such injuries or damages were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence or  
2 other tortious conduct of Third-Party Defendant.

3 28. As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are found in some manner liable to Plaintiff in this  
4 action under any theory of recovery, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that their liability would be based  
5 solely upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from their conduct, and, therefore, are entitled  
6 to complete indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

7 29. Under the foregoing circumstances, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to be fully  
8 indemnified by Third-Party Defendant for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by way of  
9 compromise, settlement, or judgment. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to all costs,  
10 expenses, and attorneys' fees that Third-Party Plaintiffs incurred in the defense of the underlying action  
11 brought by Plaintiff and the prosecution of this Third-Party Complaint.  
12

13 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **(Contribution under 740 ILCS 100/2)**

15 30. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.

16 31. If Plaintiff has been injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, any such injuries  
17 or damages were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence or other tortious  
18 conduct of Third-Party Defendant, such that Third-Party Defendant is subject to liability in tort to  
19 Plaintiff.  
20

21 32. At the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint, there was in full force and effect in  
22 the State of Illinois a statute entitled "Joint Tortfeasor Contribution Act." 740 ILCS 100/0.01, *et. seq.*  
23

24 33. The fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, must be compared with  
25 the fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Defendant or any other persons or parties.

26 34. Moreover, any award of damages to Plaintiff pursuant to her claims in the Complaint  
27 must be apportioned to, and be paid by, Third-Party Defendant according to the degree of Third-Party  
28 Defendant's fault, acts, or omissions.

1           35.     Therefore, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are held liable to Plaintiff on any of the allegations  
2 made in the Complaint, Third-Party Defendant is obligated to reimburse Third-Party Plaintiffs to the  
3 extent of Third-Party Defendant's pro rata share of common liability and will be liable to Third-Party  
4 Plaintiffs for any liabilities so assessed by way of contribution. Accordingly, Third-Party Plaintiffs  
5 assert herein their rights to such contribution pursuant to the Joint Tortfeasor Contribution  
6 Act. Specifically, Third-Party Plaintiffs assert that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to provide an  
7 equitable contribution to any judgment or settlement herein awarded in direct proportion to his pro rata  
8 share of common liability.  
9

#### 10                                   **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### 11                                   **(Declaratory Relief)**

12           36.     Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.

13           37.     Without in any way acknowledging any liability to Plaintiff, Third-Party Plaintiffs  
14 allege that, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are ultimately held liable in this action, such liability will be the  
15 direct and proximate result of the negligent or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party  
16 Defendant. As a result, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to fully or  
17 partially indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs for the sums that they may be compelled to pay as a result of  
18 any damages, judgments, or other awards against Third-Party Plaintiffs in this action, if any, and to pay  
19 Third-Party Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs incurred in defending against the claims of Plaintiff in  
20 this action and prosecuting the claims asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.  
21

22           38.     Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a judicial determination of the respective rights and duties  
23 of Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant with respect to the damages claimed in Plaintiff's  
24 Complaint. In particular, Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a declaration of the respective liabilities of  
25 Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant for such damages, if any, and a declaration of Third-  
26 Party Defendant's responsibilities to indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs and to hold them harmless from  
27 any sums that they may be compelled to pay, and for the attorneys' fees and costs Third-Party Plaintiffs  
28



1 have already incurred and will incur in defending against Plaintiff's claims and prosecuting the claims  
2 asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.

3 39. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time to enable Third-Party  
4 Plaintiffs to ascertain their rights and duties with respect to the defense of this action and the payment  
5 of any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against them by  
6 Plaintiff. Furthermore, the claims of Plaintiff and the claims of Third-Party Plaintiffs arise out of the  
7 same transaction and occurrence, and a determination of both in one proceeding is necessary and  
8 appropriate to avoid the multiplicity of actions that would result if Third-Party Plaintiffs are required  
9 to defend against the claims of Plaintiff in the Complaint and then bring a subsequent action against  
10 Third-Party Defendant for indemnification and contribution of sums that Third-Party Plaintiffs may be  
11 compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments, or other awards recovered by Plaintiff against  
12 Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any.  
13

#### 14 **PRAYER**

15 Wherefore, Third-Party Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following judgment:  
16

17 1. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the First Cause of Action, awarding  
18 Third-Party Plaintiffs total contractual indemnity for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by  
19 Third-Party Plaintiffs to Plaintiff by way of compromise, settlement, or judgment;

20 2. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the Second Cause of Action, awarding  
21 Third-Party Plaintiffs complete indemnity for any sum Third-Party Plaintiffs must pay to Plaintiff;  
22

23 3. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the Third Cause of Action, in the form  
24 of contribution based on Third-Party Defendant's pro rata share of liability of any sums adjudged  
25 against Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, in favor of Plaintiff herein;

26 4. For a judicial determination on the Fourth Cause of Action of the responsibilities of  
27 Third-Party Defendant to fully or partially indemnify and hold Third-Party Plaintiffs harmless from  
28

1 any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against Third-Party Plaintiffs by  
2 Plaintiff on the Complaint pursuant to principles of indemnity or contribution;

3 5. For any other equitable decree or order required to apportion liability and damages, and  
4 ensure Third-Party Defendant reimburses Third-Party Plaintiffs for any payments they make to Plaintiff  
5 in excess of Third-Party Plaintiffs' proportionate share of fault, if any;

6 6. That Third-Party Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this  
7 action to the extent permitted by law; and  
8

9 7. For any and such further relief as the Court may determine is just and proper.

10 DATED: December 18, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

11 **SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.**

12 By: /s/ Maria Salcedo

13 MARIA SALCEDO

14 MARIA SALCEDO (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

msalcedo@shb.com

15 **SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.**

2555 Grand Blvd.

16 Kansas City, MO 64108

17 Telephone: (816) 474-6550

Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

18 MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY

19 MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)

mshortnacy@shb.com

20 **SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.**

21 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400

22 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (424) 285-8330

23 Facsimile: (424) 204-9093

24 PATRICK OOT (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

oot@shb.com

25 **SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.**

1800 K St. NW Ste. 1000

26 Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 783-8400

27 Facsimile: (202) 783-4211

28 KYLE N. SMITH (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)

ksmith@paulweiss.com

JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
jphillips@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**

2001 K Street, NW  
Washington DC, 20006  
Telephone: (202) 223-7300  
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

*Attorney for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC